On Edge-Disjoint Empty Triangles of Point Sets

Javier Cano, Luis F. Barba, Toshinori Sakai, and Jorge Urrutia

Abstract Let *P* be a set of points in the plane in general position. Any three points $x, y, z \in P$ determine a triangle $\Delta(x, y, z)$ of the plane. We say that $\Delta(x, y, z)$ is empty if its interior contains no element of *P*. In this chapter, we study the following problems: What is the size of the largest family of edge-disjoint triangles of a point set? How many triangulations of *P* are needed to cover all the empty triangles of *P*? We also study the following problem: What is the largest number of edge-disjoint triangles of *P* containing a point *q* of the plane in their interior? We establish upper and lower bounds for these problems.

1 Introduction

Let *P* be a set of *n* points in the plane in general position. A geometric graph on *P* is a graph *G* whose vertices are the elements of *P*, two of which are adjacent if they are joined by a straight-line segment. We say that *G* is a plane if it has no edges that cross each other. A triangle of *G* consists of three points $x, y, z \in P$ such that *xy*, *yz*, and *zx* are edges of *G*; we will denote it as $\Delta(x, y, z)$. If, in addition, $\Delta(x, y, z)$ contains no elements of *P* in its interior, we say that it is empty.

e-mail: j_cano@uxmcc2.iimas.unam.mx; l.barba@uxmcc2.iimas.unam.mx

J. Urrutia

T. Sakai

J. Cano • L.F. Barba (🖂)

Posgrado en Ciencia e Ingeniería de la Computación, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México, D.F., México

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México, D.F., México. Partially supported by CONACYT of México grant number: CB-2012-01-0178379 e-mail: urrutia@matem.unam.mx

Research Institute of Educational Development, Tokai University, Kanagawa, Japan e-mail: sakai@tokai-u.jp

J. Pach (ed.), *Thirty Essays on Geometric Graph Theory*, DOI 10.1007/978-1-4614-0110-0_7, © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

In a similar way, we say that if $x, y, z \in P$, then $\Delta(x, y, z)$ is a *triangle* of *P*, and that *xy*, *yz*, and *zx* are the edges of $\Delta(x, y, z)$. If $\Delta(x, y, z)$ is empty, it is called a 3-*hole* of *P*. A 3-hole of *P* can be thought of as an empty triangle of the complete geometric graph \mathcal{K}_P on *P*. We remark that $\Delta(x, y, z)$ will denote a triangle of a geometric graph and also a triangle of a point set.

A well-known result in graph theory says that for n = 6k + 1 or n = 6k + 3, the edges of the complete graph K_n on n vertices can be decomposed into a set of $\binom{n}{2}/3$ edge-disjoint triangles. These decompositions are known as Steiner triple systems [23]; see also Kirkman's schoolgirl problem [17, 22]. In this chapter, we address some variants of that problem, but for geometric graphs.

Given a point set *P*, let $\delta(P)$ be the size of the largest set of edge-disjoint empty triangles of *P*. It is easy to see that for point sets in convex position with n = 6k + 1 or n = 6k + 3 elements, $\delta(P) = {n \choose 2}/3$. Indeed, any triangle of *P* is empty, and the problem is the same as that of decomposing the edges of the complete geometric graph $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on *P* into edge-disjoint triangles. On the other hand, we prove that for some point sets, namely Horton point sets, $\delta(P)$ is $O(n \log n)$.

We then study the problem of covering the empty triangles of point sets with as few triangulations of *P* as possible. For point sets in convex position, we prove that we need essentially $\binom{n}{3}/4$ triangulations; our bound is tight. We also show that there are point sets *P* for which $O(n \log n)$ triangulations are sufficient to cover all the empty triangles of *P* for a given point set *P*.

Finally, we consider the problem of finding a point q not in P contained in the interior of many edge-disjoint triangles of P. We prove that for any point set, there is a point $q \notin P$ contained in at least $n^2/12$ edge-disjoint triangles. Furthermore, any point in the plane, not in P, is contained in at most $n^2/9$ edge-disjoint triangles of P, and this bound is sharp. In particular, we show that this bound is attained when P is the set of vertices of a regular polygon.

1.1 Preliminary Work

The study of counting and finding *k*-holes in point sets has been an active area of research since Erdős and Szekeres [11, 12] asked about the existence of *k*-holes in planar point sets. It is known that any point set with at least 10 points contains 5-holes; e.g., see [14]. Horton [15] proved that for $k \ge 7$, there are point sets containing no *k*-holes. The question of the existence of 6-holes remained open for many years, but recently Nicolás [19] proved that any point set with sufficiently many points contains a 6-hole. A second proof of this result was subsequently given by Gerken [13].

The study of properties of the set of triangles generated by point sets on the plane has been of interest for many years. Let $f_k(n)$ be the minimum number of k-holes that a point set has. Katchalski and Meir [16] proved that $\binom{n}{2} \le f_3(n) \le cn^2$ for some c < 200; see also Purdy [21]. Their lower bounds were improved by Dehnhardt [9] to $n^2 - 5n + 10 \le f_3(n)$. He also proved that $\binom{n-3}{2} + 6 \le f_4(n)$. Point sets with few *k*-holes for $3 \le k \le 6$ were obtained by Bárány and Valtr [2]. The interested reader can read [18] for a more accurate picture of the developments in this area of research.

Chromatic variants of the Erdős–Szekeres problem have recently been studied by Devillers, Hurtado, Károly, and Seara [10]. They proved among other results that any bichromatic point set contains at least $\frac{n}{4} - 2$ compatible monochromatic empty triangles. Aichholzer et al. [1] proved that any bichromatic point set always contains $\Omega(n^{5/4})$ empty monochromatic triangles; this bound was improved by Pach and Tóth [20] to $\Omega(n^{4/3})$.

2 Sets of Edge-Disjoint Empty Triangles in Point Sets

Let *P* be a set of points in the plane, and let $\delta(P)$ be the size of the largest set of edge-disjoint empty triangles of the complete graph $\mathcal{K}(P)$ on *P*. In this section we study the following problem:

Problem 1. How small can $\delta(P)$ be?

We show that if *P* is a Horton set, then $\delta(P)$ is $O(n \log n)$. By Kirkman's result, for points in convex position with n = 6k + 1 and n = 6k + 3, $\delta(P)$ is $\frac{\binom{n}{3}}{3}$.

For any integer $k \ge 1$, Horton [15] recursively constructed a family of point sets H_k of size 2^k as follows:

- (a) $H_1 = \{(0,0), (1,0)\}.$
- (b) H_k consists of two subsets of points H_{k-1}^- and H_{k-1}^+ obtained from H_{k-1} as follows: If $p = (i, j) \in H_{k-1}$, then $p' = (2i, j) \in H_{k-1}^-$ and $p'' = (2i+1, j+d_k) \in$



Fig. 1 H_4 . The edges of H_3^+ (respectively, H_3^-) visible from *below* (respectively, *above*), are shown

 H_{k-1}^+ . The value d_k is chosen large enough such that any line ℓ passing through two points of H_{k-1}^+ leaves all the points of H_{k-1}^- below it; see Fig. 1.

We say that a line segment pq joining two elements p and q of H_k is visible from below (respectively, above) if there is no point of H_k below it (respectively, above it); that is there is no element r of H_k such that the vertical line through r intersects pq above r (respectively, below r). Let $B(H_k)$ be the set of line segments of H_k visible from below. The following result, which we will use later, was proved by Bárány and Valtr in [2]; see also [3]:

Lemma 1. $|B(H_k)| = 2^{k+1} - (k+2)$.

The following result is proved in [3] by using this lemma:

Theorem 1. For every $n = 2^k$, $k \ge 1$, there is a point set (namely, H_k) such that there is a geometric graph on H_k with $\binom{n}{2} - O(n \log n)$ edges with no empty triangles.

In other words, it is always possible to remove $O(n \log n)$ edges from the complete graph \mathcal{K}_{H_k} in such a way that the remaining graph contains no empty triangles. The main idea is that by removing from \mathcal{K}_{H_k} all the edges of H_{k-1}^+ (respectively, H_{k-1}^-) visible from below (respectively, above), no empty triangle remains with vertices in both H_{k-1}^+ and H_{k-1}^- .

Observe now that if a geometric graph has k edge-disjoint empty triangles, then we need to take at least k edges away from G for the graph that remains to contain no empty triangles. It follows now that the complete graph \mathcal{K}_{H_k} has at most $O(n \log n)$ edge-disjoint empty triangles. Thus, we have proved

Theorem 2. There is a point set, namely, H_k , such that any set of edge-disjoint empty triangles of H_k contains at most $O(n \log n)$ elements.

Clearly, for any point set *P*, the size of the largest set of edge-disjoint triangles of *P* is at least linear. We conjecture

Conjecture 1. Any point set *P* in general position always contains a set with at least $O(n \log n)$ edge-disjoint empty triangles.

3 Covering the Triangles of Point Sets with Triangulations

An empty triangle t of a point set P is covered by a triangulation T of P if one of the faces of T is t. In this section, we consider the following problem:

Problem 2. How many triangulations of a point set are needed such that each empty triangle of *P* is covered by at least one triangulation?

This problem, which is interesting in its own right, will help us in finding point sets for which $\delta(P)$ is large. We start by studying Problem 2 for point sets in convex position, and then for point sets in general position.

3.1 Points in Convex Position

All point sets *P* considered in this subsection will be assumed to be in convex position, and their elements labeled $\{p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}\}$ in counterclockwise order around the boundary of CH(*P*). Since any triangulation of a point set of *n* points in convex position corresponds to a triangulation of a regular polygon with *n* vertices, solving Problem 2 for point sets in convex position is equivalent to solving it for point sets whose elements are the vertices of a regular polygon. Suppose then that *P* is the set of vertices of a regular polygon and that *c* is the center of such a polygon.

A triangle is called an *acute* triangle if all of its angles are smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2}$. We recall the following result in elementary geometry given without proof.

Observation 1. A triangle with vertices in P is acute if and only if it contains c in its interior.

The following result is relatively well known.

Lemma 2. Let *P* be the set of vertices of a regular *n*-gon *Q* and *c* the center of *Q*. Then

- If n is even, c is contained in the interior of $\frac{1}{4} \left[\binom{n}{3} \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \right]$ acute triangles of P.
- If n is odd, c is contained in $\left[\binom{n}{3} \frac{n(n-1)(n-3)}{8}\right] = \frac{1}{4}\left[\binom{n}{3} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right]$ acute triangles of P.

Let $f(n) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\binom{n}{3} + \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \right]$ for *n* even and $f(n) = \frac{1}{4} \left[\binom{n}{3} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \right]$ for *n* odd. We now prove

Theorem 3. f(n) triangulations are always sufficient, and always necessary, to cover all the triangles of a regular polygon.

Proof. Suppose first that *n* is even. For each vertex p_i of *P*, let $\alpha(p_i) = p_{i+\frac{n}{2}}$ be the antipodal vertex of p_i in *P*, where addition is taken mod *n*. Suppose that $\Delta(p_i, p_j, p_k)$ is an acute triangle of *P* (i.e., it contains *c* in its interior), i < j < k. Let $t_4(i, j, k)$ be the following set of four triangles:

$$t_4(i,j,k) = \{\Delta(p_i, p_j, p_k), \Delta(\alpha(p_i), p_j, p_k), \Delta(p_i, \alpha(p_j), p_k), \Delta(p_i, p_j, \alpha(p_k))\}$$

see Fig. 2a.

It is easy to see that all the triangles of P except those that have a right angle are in

$$\bigcup t_4(i,j,k),$$

where *i*, *j*, *k* range over all triples such that $\Delta(p_i, p_j, p_k)$ contains *c* in its interior.

On the other hand, it is easy to see that if a triangle t of P contains c in the middle of one of its edges (clearly, t is a right triangle), this edge joins two antipodal vertices of P; see Fig. 2b). Thus, we have exactly

b



such triangles. It is easy to find

$$\frac{n(n-2)}{4}$$

 $\frac{n}{2} \times (n-2)$

а

triangulations of P such that each of them cover two of these triangles. Since each triangulation of P contains exactly one acute triangle of P or two triangles sharing an edge that contains c at its middle point, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{4} \left[\binom{n}{3} - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \right] + \frac{n(n-2)}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\binom{n}{3} + \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \right]$$

triangulations are necessary and sufficient to cover all the triangles of P. To show that this number of triangulations is needed, we point out that any two acute triangles of P cannot belong to the same triangulation (note that they intersect at c). Moreover, these triangulations are different from those containing right triangles. Our result follows.

A similar argument follows for n odd, except that some extra care has to be paid to the way in which we group the nonacute triangles of P around the acute triangles of P.

Thus, the number of triangulations needed to cover all the triangles of *P* is asymptotically $\binom{n}{3}/4$. The next result follows trivially.

Corollary 1. Let P be a set of n points in convex position and p any point in the interior of CH(P). Then p belongs to the interior of at most $\frac{\binom{n}{3}}{4} + O(n^2)$ triangles of P.

3.2 Covering the Empty Triangles on the Horton Set

We will now show that all the empty triangles in H_k can be covered with $O(n \log n)$ triangulations. The bound is tight.



Fig. 3 The depth of an edge

Consider an edge e of H_k that is visible from below, and a vertical line ℓ that intersects e at a point q in the interior of e. The depth of e is the number of edges of H_k , visible from below, intersected by ℓ below q. It is not hard to see that the maximal depth of an edge of H_k visible from below is at most $\log n - 1$ and that this bound is tight; see Fig. 3. Moreover, it is easy to see that the union of all edges of H_k with the same depth is an *x*-monotone path. Now we can prove

Theorem 4. $\Theta(n \log n)$ triangulations of H_k are necessary and sufficient to cover the set of empty triangles of H_k .

Proof. Consider the sets H_{k-1}^+ and H_{k-1}^- . We will show how to cover all the empty triangles of H_k with two vertices in H_{k-1}^+ and one in H_{k-1}^- with $O(n \log n)$ triangulations. Label the elements of H_{k-1}^- from left to right as p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}^n .

For each $0 \le d \le k-1$, proceed as follows: For every $p_j \in H_{k-1}^-$, join p_j to the endpoints of all the edges of H_{k-1}^+ of depth d. This gives us a set $ID_{d,j}^+$ of interiordisjoint empty triangles. It is not hard to see that if $(d, j) \ne (d', j')$, then $ID_{d,j}^+ \cap ID_{d',i'}^+ = \emptyset$.

It is easy to see that the union of these sets covers all the empty triangles with two vertices in H_{k-1}^+ and one in H_{k-1}^- . In a similar way, cover all the triangles with two vertices in H_{k-1}^- , and one in H_{k-1}^+ with a family of sets $ID_{d,i}^-$.

Let ℓ_1 be the straight line connecting the leftmost point in H_{k-1}^+ to the rightmost point in H_{k-1}^- , and ℓ_2 the straight line that connects the rightmost point in H_{k-1}^+ with the leftmost point of H_{k-1}^- . Let q be a point slightly above the intersection point of ℓ_1 with ℓ_2 .

It is clear that for each $ID_{d,j}^+$ there is exactly one empty triangle that contains q in its interior. This implies that q is contained in $\Omega(n \log n)$ empty triangles, and thus $\Omega(n \log n)$ triangulations are necessary to cover all the empty triangles in H_k .

Now we show that $O(n \log n)$ of H_k triangulations are sufficient. Consider each set $ID_{d,j}^+$ and $ID_{d,j}^-$, and complete it to a triangulation. This gives us $O(n \log n)$ triangulations that cover all the triangles with vertices in both H_{k-1}^+ and H_{k-1}^- .

Take a set of triangulations $\mathcal{T}_{k-1}^+ = \{T_1^+, \dots, T_m^+\}$ of H_{k-1}^+ that covers all of its empty triangles. Since H_{k-1}^+ and H_{k-1}^- are isomorphic, we can find a set of triangulations $\mathcal{T}_{k-1}^- = \{T_1^-, \dots, T_m^-\}$ of H_{k-1}^- that covers all the empty triangles of H_{k-1}^- such that T_i^+ is isomorphic to T_i^- . For each *i*, we can find a triangulation T_i of H_k that contains T_i^+ and T_i^- as induced subgraphs.

Thus, if T(n) is the number of triangulations required to cover the empty triangles of H_k , the following recurrence holds for $n = 2^k$:

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O(n\log n).$$

This solves to $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, and our result follows.

We conclude this section with the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. At least $\Omega(n \log n)$ triangulations are needed to cover all the empty triangles of any point set with *n* points.

4 A Point in Many Edge-Disjoint Triangles

The problem of finding a point contained in many triangles of a point set was solved by Boros and Füredi [4]; see also Bukh [6]. They proved

Theorem 5. For any set P of n points in general position, there is a point in the interior of the convex hull of P contained in $\frac{2}{9} \binom{n}{3} + O(n^2)$ triangles of P. The bound is tight.

We now study a variant to this problem, in which we are interested in finding a point in many *edge-disjoint* triangles. We consider the following.

Problem 3. Let *P* be a set of points in the plane in general position, and $q \notin P$ a point of the plane. What is the largest number of edge-disjoint triangles of *P* such that *q* belongs to the interior of all of them?

We start by giving some preliminary results, and then we study Problem 3 for point sets in general position and sets of vertices of regular polygons.

Given a point set *P*, and a point *q* not in *P*, let $\mathcal{T}(P,q)$ [or $\mathcal{T}(q)$ for short] be the set of triangles of *P* that contain *q*. We define the graph G(P,q) whose vertex set is $\mathcal{T}(q)$ in which two triangles are adjacent if they share an edge; see Fig. 4. We may assume that *q* does not belong to any line passing through two elements of *P*. We now prove

Lemma 3. The degree of every vertex of G(P,q) is exactly n-3.

Proof. Let $\Delta(x, y, z)$ be a triangle that contains q in its interior. Let p be any point in $P \setminus \{x, y, z\}$. Then exactly one of the triangles $\Delta(x, y, p)$, $\Delta(x, p, z)$, or $\Delta(p, y, z)$



Fig. 4
$$G(P,q)$$

Fig. 5



contains q; see Fig. 5. That is, exactly one of $\Delta(x, y, p)$, $\Delta(x, p, z)$, or $\Delta(p, y, z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}(q)$. Our result follows.

Observe now that finding sets of edge-disjoint triangles that contain q is equivalent to finding independent sets in G(P,q). Let $\tau(P,q)$ (or $\tau(q)$ for short) be the largest number of edge-disjoint triangles on P containing q. We now prove

Lemma 4.

$$\frac{|\mathcal{T}(q)|}{n-2} \le \tau(q) \le \frac{3|\mathcal{T}(q)|}{n}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 that the size of the largest independent set of G(P,q) is at least $\frac{|\mathcal{T}(q)|}{n-2}$. To prove our upper bound, it is sufficient to observe that if a vertex of G(P,q) is not in an independent set I of G(P,q), then it is adjacent to at most three vertices in it, one per each of its edges. Hence, by counting the number of edges connecting a vertex in I to another in $\mathcal{T}(q) \setminus I$, we obtain

$$(n-3)|I| \le 3|\mathcal{T}(q) \setminus I|.$$

Our result follows.

From Theorem 5 and Lemma 4, it is easy to see that in any set of n points in general position on the plane, there is a point q such that

$$\frac{n^2}{27} + O(n) \approx \frac{\frac{2}{9}\binom{n}{3} + O(n^2)}{n-2} \le \tau(q) \le \frac{3 \cdot \frac{2}{9}\binom{n}{3} + O(n^2)}{n} \approx \frac{n^2}{9} + O(n).$$



Fig. 6 Partitions of P

Thus, we have

Corollary 2. For any point set in general position on the plane, there is a point q such that $\tau(q) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} + O(n)$.

We now prove an even stronger result. We now prove

Proposition 1. Let *P* a set of *n* points in general position on the plane. Then for any point $q \notin P$ of the plane, $\tau(q) \leq n^2/9$.

Proof. Let $q \notin P$ be any point of the plane. If q is on a straight line passing through two elements of P, then by slightly moving it, q could be moved to a position in which it is contained in more edge-disjoint triangles. Thus, assume that q is not on any straight line through two elements of P.

First, we show the following lemma:

Lemma 5. There exist three straight lines passing through q such that they partition P into six subsets P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_5 in counterclockwise order around q, with $|P_0| = |P_2| = |P_4|$ (we allow the possibility that $P_i = \emptyset$ for some i).

Proof. Let l_0 be a straight line passing through q such that one of the half-planes bounded by l_0 , say the one on top of it, contains an even number of elements of P. Take other straight lines l_1 and l_2 passing through q, and define the subsets P_i of P, $0 \le i \le 5$, as shown in Fig. 6a, where $|P_0 \cup P_1 \cup P_2|$ is even. Let l^* be a straight line passing through q, equipartitioning the elements of $P_0 \cup P_1 \cup P_2$.

Choose l_1 and l_2 such that initially $|P_0| = |P_2| = |P_3| = |P_5| = 0$. From their initial positions, rotate l_1 counterclockwise and l_2 clockwise around q in such a way that P_0 and P_2 always contain the same number of elements, and until they both reach the position of l^* at the same time, and the boundary of P_4 always contains no more than one element of P.

Initially, $|P_4| \ge 0 = |P_0|$. On the other hand, we have $|P_4| = 0 \le |P_0|$ when l_1 and l_2 reach the position of l^* . Hence, at some point while rotating l_1 and l_2 , we have that $|P_0| = |P_2| = |P_4|$; see Fig. 6b.



Fig. 7 Triangles in the T_{ijk} 's

Let P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_5 be as in Lemma 5. Write $|P_i| = n_i$ for $0 \le i \le 5$ (we have $n_0 = n_2 = n_4$). We henceforth read indices modulo 6. Let \mathcal{T} be a set of edge-disjoint triangles with vertices in P, containing q in its interior. For integers i, j, k, let \mathcal{T}_{ijk} denote the set of elements of \mathcal{T} such that it has one vertex in P_i , another in P_j and the other in P_k , and let $t_{ijk} = |\mathcal{T}_{ijk}|$; see Fig. 7.

Then

$$\mathcal{T} = \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{ii(i+3)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+1)(i+3)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+1)(i+4)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+4)} \right]$$
$$= \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{ii(i+3)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+5)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+3)} \right] \cup \left[\cup_{i=0}^{5} \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+4)} \right] .$$

For integers *i*, *j*, let E_{ij} denote the set of all segments connecting an element of P_i and another of P_j . Then for each integer *i*, $|E_{i(i+2)}| = n_i n_{i+2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+3)} \cup \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+4)} \cup \mathcal{T}_{i(i+2)(i+5)}$ is the set of elements of \mathcal{T} that has a side belonging to $E_{i(i+2)}$. Hence, we have

$$f(i) \equiv t_{i(i+2)(i+3)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+4)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+5)} \le n_i n_{i+2} \tag{1}$$

for each *i*. Similarly, by considering the cardinality of $E_{i(i+3)}$, we obtain

$$g(i) \equiv 2t_{ii(i+3)} + t_{i(i+1)(i+3)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+3)} + 2t_{i(i+3)(i+3)} + t_{i(i+3)(i+4)} + t_{i(i+3)(i+5)} \le n_i n_{i+3}$$
(2)

for each i. By (1) and (2), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} f(i) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{2} g(i) \le \sum_{i=0}^{5} n_i n_{i+2} + 2\sum_{i=0}^{2} n_i n_{i+3}.$$
(3)

Since $g(i) = (t_{i(i+2)(i+3)} + t_{j(j+2)(j+3)}) + (t_{j'(j'+2)(j'+5)} + t_{j''(j''+2)(j''+5)}) + 2(t_{ii(i+3)} + t_{jj(j+3)})$, where j = i+3, j' = i+1, j'' = j'+3,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} f(i) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{2} g(i) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} (t_{i(i+2)(i+3)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+4)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+5)}) + 2\sum_{i=0}^{5} (t_{i(i+2)(i+3)} + t_{i(i+2)(i+5)}) + 4\sum_{i=0}^{5} t_{ii(i+3)} = 3|\mathcal{T}| + \sum_{i=0}^{5} t_{ii(i+3)} \ge 3|\mathcal{T}|.$$
(4)



Fig. 8 A vertex set of a regular 27-gon

On the other hand, if we denote the right-hand side of (3) by S,

$$S = (n_0 n_2 + n_2 n_4 + n_4 n_0) + (n_1 n_3 + n_3 n_5 + n_5 n_1) + 2(n_0 n_3 + n_2 n_5 + n_4 n_1) = \frac{l^2}{3} + \frac{2lm}{3} + (n_1 n_3 + n_3 n_5 + n_5 n_1),$$
(5)

where $l = n_0 + n_2 + n_4$ (recall that $n_0 = n_2 = n_4$) and $m = n_1 + n_3 + n_5$. Since $n_1n_3 + n_3n_5 + n_5n_1 = [m^2 - (n_1^2 + n_3^2 + n_5^2)]/2$ and since $n_1^2 + n_3^2 + n_5^2 \ge m^2/3$ with equality if and only if $n_1 = n_3 = n_5$, we have $n_1n_3 + n_3n_5 + n_5n_1 \le m^2/3$. From this and (5), it follows that

$$S \le \frac{l^2}{3} + \frac{2lm}{3} + \frac{m^2}{3} = \frac{(l+m)^2}{3} = \frac{n^2}{3}.$$
 (6)

Now combining (3), (4) and (6), we obtain $|\mathcal{T}| \le n^2/9$, as desired.

To achieve the equality, it is necessary that $n_0 = n_2 = n_4$ and $n_1 = n_3 = n_5$ for some partition (Fig. 8).

We now prove

Proposition 2. Let *n* be a positive integer and *P* a set of *n* points in general position on the plane. Then there exists a point *q* on the plane such that $\tau(q) \ge \frac{n^2}{12}$.

Proof. We use the following lemma, which was proved by Ceder [7] (see also [5]) and applied by Bukh [6] to obtain a lower bound of $\max_q |\mathcal{T}(q)|$ for given *P*:

Lemma 6. There exist three straight lines such that they intersect at a point q and partition the plane into six open regions each of which contains at least n/6 - 1 elements of P.

Fig. 9 Matching M_i (*bold lines*) and triangles using edges of M_i



Let *q* be as in Lemma 6. We may assume that *q* is not on any straight line passing through two elements of *P*. Let $m = \lceil n/6 \rceil - 1$ and denote by D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_5 the six regions in counterclockwise order around *q*. For each $0 \le i \le 5$, let P_i be a subset of $P \cap D_i$ with $|P_i| = m$; see Fig. 9.

Now consider the geometric complete bipartite graph with vertex set $P_0 \cup P_3$ and edge set $E = \{pp' | p \in P_0, p' \in P_3\}$. As a consequence of a well-known result in graph theory, E can be decomposed into m subsets M_i , $0 \le i \le m-1$, such that each M_i is a perfect matching, i.e., consisting of m independent edges. Let $P_1 =$ $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\}$ and $P_4 = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m\}$. For each i and each element $e = pp' \in M_i$, where $p \in P_0$ and $p' \in P_3$, let u_i denote either s_i or t_i according to whether $pp' \cap D_1 =$ \emptyset or $pp' \cap D_4 = \emptyset$. Then $\triangle(p, p', u_i)$ contains q in its interior. Observe that all of the m triangles in $\mathcal{T}_i = \{\triangle(p, p', u_i) | e = pp' \in M_i\}$ are edge-disjoint, and all of the m^2 triangles in $\mathcal{T}_{03} = \bigcup_{i=0}^m \mathcal{T}_i$ are edge-disjoint as well.

Define the sets \mathcal{T}_{14} and \mathcal{T}_{25} of triangles similarly (the elements of \mathcal{T}_{14} are triangles with one vertex in P_1 , another in P_4 , and the other in $P_2 \cup P_5$, while the elements of \mathcal{T}_{25} are triangles with one vertex in P_2 , another in P_5 , and the other in $P_3 \cup P_0$). It can be observed that all of the $3m^2 = n^2/12 - O(n)$ triangles in $\mathcal{T}_{03} \cup \mathcal{T}_{14} \cup \mathcal{T}_{25}$ are edge-disjoint.

Thus by using Corollary 2, Proposition 1, and Proposition 2, we have

Theorem 6. In any point set in general position, there is a point q such that $\frac{n^2}{12} \le \tau(q) \le \frac{n^2}{9}$. Moreover, for any q, $\tau(q) \le \frac{n^2}{9}$.

4.1 Regular Polygons

By Theorem 6, any point in the interior of the convex hull of a point set is contained in at most $n^2/9$ edge-disjoint triangles of *P*. It is also easy to construct point sets for which that bound is tight; see Fig. 8a). In fact, the point sets in that figure can be chosen in convex position.



Fig. 10 (a) The triple (1,2,3), and p_0 determine $\Delta(p_0, p_2, p_5)$. (b) S(1,2,3) is obtained by rotating $\Delta(p_0, p_2, p_5)$, obtaining a set of 9 edge-disjoint triangles

We now show that the bound in Theorem 6 is also achieved when *P* is the set of vertices of a regular polygon. We found proving this result to be a challenging problem. In what follows, we will assume that $n = 9m, m \ge 1$.

Let (a_i, b_i, c_i) be an ordered set of integers. We call (a_i, b_i, c_i) a *triangular triple* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) a_i, b_i , and c_i are all different,
- (b) $a_i + b_i + c_i = n 3$, and
- (c) $1 \le a_i, b_i, c_i \le \frac{n-3}{2}$.

Observe that for any vertex p_r of P, a triangular triple (a_i, b_i, c_i) , defines a triangle $\Delta(p_r, p_{r+a_i+1}, p_{r+a_i+b_i+2})$ of P. Moreover, condition c) above ensures that $\Delta(p_r, p_{r+a_i+1}, p_{r+a_i+b_i+2})$ is acute, and hence it contains the center c of P. Note that since $a_i + b_i + c_i = n - 3$, $p_r = p_{r+a_i+b_i+c_i+3}$, addition taken mod n. Thus, the edges of $\Delta(p_r, p_{r+a_i+1}, p_{r+a_i+b_i+2})$ skip a_i, b_i , and c_i vertices of P, respectively; see Fig. 10a.

Let $S(a_i, b_i, c_i) = \{\Delta(p_r, p_{r+a_i+1}, p_{r+a_i+b_i+2}) : p_r \in P\}$. The set $S(a_i, b_i, c_i)$ can be seen as the set of triangles obtained by rotating $\Delta(p_0, p_{0+a_i+1}, p_{0+a_i+b_i+2})$ around the center of *P*; see Fig. 10b. The next observation will be useful.

Observation 2. Let (a_i, b_i, c_i) and (a_j, b_j, c_j) be triangular triples of P such that $\{a_i, b_i, c_i\} \cap \{a_j, b_j, c_j\} = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$. Then all of the triangles in $S(a_i, b_i, c_i) \cup S(a_i, b_i, c_j)$ are edge-disjoint.

Consider a set $C = \{(a_0, b_0, c_0), \dots, (a_{k-1}, b_{k-1}, c_{k-1})\}$ of ordered triangular triples. We say that *C* is a *generating set* of triangular triples if the following condition holds:

$$\{a_i, b_i, c_i\} \cap \{a_j, b_j, c_j\} = \emptyset, \ i \neq j.$$



Fig. 11 Triangular triples for n = 27, 45, 63, 81 and 99

Note that $|S(a_i, b_i, c_i)| = n$, and thus

$$\bigcup_{(a_i,b_i,c_i)\in C} S(a_i,b_i,c_i)$$

contains nk edge-disjoint triangles containing the center P. Our task is now that of finding a generating set of as many triangular triples as possible.

Theorem 7. Let P be the set of vertices of a regular polygon with n = 9m vertices, and let c be its center. Then if m is odd, then $|\tau(c)| \ge \frac{n^2}{9}$, and if m is even, then $|\tau(c)| \ge \frac{n^2}{9} - n$.

Proof. The proof when *m* is odd proceeds by constructing a generating set *C* with $\frac{n}{9}$ triangular triples. Let $k = \frac{9m-3}{6}$ and k' = k + 2m - 1. Given $i \in \{0, 1, ..., m-1\}$, we define the *i*th ordered triple (a_i, b_i, c_i) as follows (see Fig. 11):

$$\begin{aligned} &a_i = k + i, \\ &b_i = \begin{cases} k' - 2i - 1 & \text{if} \quad i < \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ k' - 2i + m - 1 & \text{if} \quad i \ge \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ c_i = \begin{cases} k' + i + 1 + \frac{m+1}{2} & \text{if} \quad i < \frac{m-1}{2}, \\ k' + i + 1 - \frac{m-1}{2} & \text{if} \quad i \ge \frac{m-1}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that the triples (a_i, b_i, c_i) are triangular; that is, $a_i + b_i + c_i = n - 3$. Since $b_i + c_i = 2k' - i + \frac{m+1}{2}$ for all *i*,

$$a_i + b_i + c_i = k + 2k' + \frac{m+1}{2} = 9m - 3.$$





It is easy to see that

$$k \le a_i \le k + m - 1,$$

 $k + m = k' - m + 1 \le b_i \le k',$
 $k' + 1 \le c_i.$

Therefore, $a_i < b_j < c_k$ for every i, j, k. Also, by construction it can be verified that $a_i \neq a_j, b_i \neq b_j$, and $c_i \neq c_j$ for every $i \neq j$.

Thus, the set $\bigcup_{(a_i,b_i,c_i)\in C} \{a_i,b_i,c_i\}$ contains no repeated elements.

Finally, note that the maximum value that can be reached by c_i occurs when $i = \frac{m-3}{2}$, and thus,

$$c_i \le k' + 1 + \frac{m-3}{2} + \frac{m+1}{2} = k' + m = \frac{9m-3}{2}.$$

Therefore, C is a generating set of triangular triples. Thus, c is contained in at least $\frac{n^2}{9}$ edge-disjoint triangles.

The proof when *m* is even proceeds by also constructing a set of *m* triples. We use the set of triples just constructed for m + 1 and modify it as follows: Suppose that the set of m + 1 triples is $\{(a_0, b_0, c_0), \dots, (a_m, b_m, c_m)\}$.

Let $a'_i = a_i - 3$, $b'_i = b_i - 3$, and $c'_i = c_i - 3$, and consider $C' = \{(a'_i, b'_i, c'_i) \mid 0 \le i \le m\}$. C' induces a set of triangles in *P*. Nevertheless, 2n triangles do not contain the point *c* in their interior; see Fig. 12. Therefore, this construction guarantees that *c* is contained in at least $(m+1)n - 2n = \frac{n^2}{9} - n$ edge-disjoint triangles.

5 A Point in Many Edge-Disjoint Empty Triangles

We conclude our chapter by briefly studying the problem of the existence of a point contained in many edge-disjoint empty triangles of a point set. We point out that if we are interested only in empty triangles containing a point, it is easy to see that for any point set P, there is always a point q contained in a linear number of (not necessarily edge-disjoint) empty triangles. This follows directly from the following facts:

- 1. Any point set *P* with *n* elements always determines at least a quadratic number of empty triangles [2, 16].
- 2. We can always choose 2n c 2 points in the plane such that any empty triangle of *P* contains one of them, where *c* is the number of vertices of the convex hull of *P*; see [8, 16].

We now prove

Theorem 8. There are point sets P such that every $q \notin P$ is contained in at most a linear number of empty edge-disjoint triangles of P.

Proof. Let H_k , H_{k-1}^+ , and H_{k-1}^- be as defined in Sect. 2. Consider any set T_k^+ (respectively, T_k^-) of empty edge-disjoint triangles such that each of them has two vertices in H_{k-1}^+ (respectively, H_{k-1}^-) and the other in H_{k-1}^- (respectively, H_{k-1}^+). Let $t \in T_k^+$. Then the edge of t with both endpoints in H_{k-1}^+ is an edge of H_{k-1}^+ visible from below. Since the triangles in T_k^+ are edge-disjoint, the number of elements of T_k^+ is at most the number of edges of H_{k-1}^+ visible from below, which is a linear function in n. Thus, $|T_k^+| \in O(n)$. Similarly, we can prove that $|T_k^-| \in O(n)$.

Consider a point $q \in CH(H_k) \setminus CH(H_{k-1}^+) \cup CH(H_{k-1}^-)$. Clearly, any empty triangle containing q belongs to some $T_k^+ \cup T_k^-$, and thus it belongs to at most a linear number of edge-disjoint triangles of H_k .

Suppose next that $q \in CH(H_{k-1}^+) \cup CH(H_{k-1}^-)$. Suppose without loss of generality that $q \in CH(H_{k-1}^+)$ and that q belongs to a set S of edge-disjoint triangles of H_k . S may contain some triangles with vertices in both of H_{k-1}^+ and H_{k-1}^- . There are at most a linear number of such triangles. The remaining elements in S have all of their vertices in H_{k-1}^+ . Thus, the number of edge-disjoint triangles containing q satisfies

$$T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n),$$

and thus q belongs to at most a linear number of edge-disjoint triangles.

The first part of our result follows. To show that our bound is tight, let q be as in the proof of Theorem 4. It is easy to see that q belongs to a linear number of triangles with vertices in both H_k^+ and H_k^- , and our result follows.

We conclude with the following.

Conjecture 3. Let *P* be a set of *n* points in general position on the plane. Then there is always a point $q \notin P$ on the plane such that it is contained in at least log *n* edge-disjoint triangles of *P*.

Acknowledgements Our work was partially supported by projects MTM2009-07242, MTM2006-03909 (Spain), and SEP-CONACYT of México, Proyecto 80268.

References

- O. Aichholzer, R. Fabila-Monroy, D. Flores-Pe-aloza, T. Hackl, C. Huemer, J. Urrutia, Empty monochromatic triangles. Comput. Geom. Theor. Appl. 42, 934–938 (2009)
- I. Bárány, P. Valtr, Planar point sets with a small number of empty convex polygons. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 41(2), 243–266 (2004)
- 3. C. Bautista-Santiago, M. Heredia, C. Huemer, A. Ramírez-Vigueras, C. Seara, J. Urrutia, On the number of edges in geometric graphs without empty triangles (January 2011) to appear in Graphs and Combinatorics. DOI : 10.1007/s00373-012-1220-9
- 4. E. Boros, Z. Füredi, The number of triangles covering the center of an *n*-set. Geom. Dedicata **17**, 69–77 (1984)
- 5. R. Buck, E. Buck, Equipartitions of convex sets. Math. Mag. 22, 195–198 (1949)
- 6. B. Bukh, A point in many triangles. Electron. J. Comb. 13(10) (2006)
- 7. J. Ceder, Generalized sixpartite problems. Bol. Soc. Math. Mexicana 2, 28-32 (1964)
- J. Czyzowicz, E. Kranakis, J. Urrutia, Guarding the convex subsets of a point set, in *Proceedings of the 12th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry*, 2000, New Brunswick, Canada, pp. 47–50
- 9. K. Dehnhardt, Leere konvexe Vielecke in ebenen Punktmengen. Dissertation, TU Braunschweig, 1987
- O. Devillers, F. Hurtado, G. Károlyi, C. Seara, Chromatic variants of the Erdős–Szekeres theorem. Comput. Geom. Theor. Appl. 26(3), 193–208 (2003)
- 11. P. Erdős, Some more problems on elementary geometry. Aust. Math. Soc. Gaz. 5, 52–54 (1978)
- 12. P. Erdős, G. Szekeres, A combinatorial problem in geometry. Compos. Math. 2, 463–470 (1935)
- T. Gerken, Empty convex hexagons in planar point sets. Discr. Comput. Geom. 39(1-3), 239–272 (2008)
- 14. H. Harborth, Konvexe Fünfecke in ebenen Punktmengen. Elem. Math. 33, 116–118 (1978)
- 15. J.D. Horton, Sets with no empty convex 7-gons. Can. Math. Bull. 26, 482–484 (1983)
- M. Katchalski, A. Meir, On empty triangles determined by points in the plane. Acta Math. Hung. 51, 323–328 (1988)
- 17. T. Kirkman, On a problem in combinatorics. Camb. Dublin Math. J. 2, 191-204 (1847)
- W. Morris, V. Soltan, The Erdős–Szekeres problem on points in convex position—a survey. Bull. (New Ser.) Am. Math. Soc. 37(4), 437–458 (2000)
- 19. C.M. Nicolás, The empty hexagon theorem. Discr. Comput. Geom. 38, 389-397 (2007)
- J. Pach, G. Tóth, Monochromatic empty triangles in two-colored point sets, in *Geometry*, Games, Graphs and Education: the Joe Malkevitch Festschrift (COMAP, Bedford, 2008), pp. 195–198
- 21. G. Purdy, The minimum number of empty triangles. AMS Abstr. 3, 318 (1982)
- D. Ray-Chaudhuri, R. Wilson, Solution to Kirkman's schoolgirl problem. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (Am. Math. Soc.) 19, 187–204 (1971)
- 23. H.J. Ryser, Combinatorial Mathematics (Math. Assoc. Amer., Buffalo, 1963), pp. 99-102